



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,316	10/07/2003	Salvatore Rizzoli		3223	
7590 03/01/2005		EXAMINER			
TIMOTHY J. KLIMA, ESQ. HARBIN KING 7 KLIMA			CHOI, STEPHEN		
500 NINTH STREET, SE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20003			3724		
	•		DATE MAIL ED: 03/01/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/679,31	6	RIZZOLI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Stephen (3724			
Period fo		• •		•	ldress		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state e to reply within the set or extended period for reply w eply received by the Office later than three months afted d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ication to become ABANDONEC	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b	o)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	on Papers						
10)⊠ ⁻	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 20</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	<u>03</u> is/are: a)⊠ acco ion to the drawing(s) t he correction is requir	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies o application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P · No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/28/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/679,316

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7, 9, 14, and 16-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 7, 14, and 17, "a device such as will..." is grammatically not understood. In claims 9 and 16, is "cylindrical rod" the same cylindrical rod set forth in claims 8 and 15? Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. Assuming claim 11 depends on claim 1, claims 11-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 3-7. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with vague and indefinite language and should be carefully reviewed. The following are examples of many of the occurrences of such

Art Unit: 3724

language. Applicant is required to review and correct all the pending claims. Failure to do so may result in the next action made final.

In claims 4 and 12, "the first suction roller" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claim 6, it is not clear what is meant by "substantially radial blades".

In claims 10 and 18-20, "the shaft", "the flange", "the disc", and "the first roller" lack positive antecedent basis.

The dependency of claim 11 is not known. Claim 11 is assumed to depend on claim 1 for examination purpose only.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 11, and 17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1097894 (hereafter '894).

'894 discloses all the recited elements of the invention including cutting means comprising a first aspirating conveyor (10) and a second conveyor (12) comprising a roller supporting a plurality of radial blades (14), and tension varying means comprising at least one revolving diverter element capable of cyclical movement between two limit positions (37). Regarding claim 17, a relative longitudinal corner edge (at 13). Applicant should note that the limitation "cutting means" is not in compliance with the Supplemental Guidelines published in the Official Gazette on July 25, 2000. Such

Application/Control Number: 10/679,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

limitations cannot be used to invoke 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, and have therefore been given their broadest reasonable interpretation, without considering equivalence.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huck, Schroth et al., Muller, Benner, Jr. et al., and Elsner et al. are cited to show related devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

23 February 2005

STEPHEN CHOI